

Draft Screening Assessment

Talc
(Mg₃H₂(SiO₃)₄)

Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number
14807-96-6

Environment and Climate Change Canada
Health Canada

December 2018

i

EXHIBIT

601.173

Synopsis

Pursuant to section 74 of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA), the Minister of the Environment and the Minister of Health have conducted a screening assessment of talc. The Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CAS RN¹) for talc is 14807-96-6. This substance is among those substances identified as priorities for assessment as it met categorization criteria under subsection 73(1) of CEPA.

Talc is a naturally occurring mineral. According to information reported under section 71 of CEPA and publically available information, in 2011 talc was manufactured in Canada in quantities ranging between 50 to 75 million kg, and in 2016, approximately 100 million kg of talc was imported. In Canada talc is used in adhesives and sealants; automotive, aircraft, and transportation applications; building and construction materials; ceramics; electrical and electronics; textiles; floor coverings; ink, toner, and colourants; lubricants and greases; oil and natural gas extraction applications; paints and coatings; paper and paper products, mixtures, and manufactured items; plastic and rubber materials; toys, playground, and sporting equipment; and in water treatment. The major uses in Canada align with major global uses of talc. Talc is an ingredient in self-care products and is a permitted food additive. In North America, approximately 3 to 4 % of the talc produced and sold is used in cosmetics. High-purity talc is used in cosmetics, while lower-grade talc is used in commercial applications.

The ecological risk of talc was characterized using the Ecological Risk Classification of Inorganic Substances (ERC-I) approach. The ERC-I is a risk-based approach that employs multiple metrics, considering both hazard and exposure in a weight of evidence. Hazard characterization in ERC-I included a survey of past predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs) and water quality guidelines, or the derivation of new PNEC values when required. Exposure profiling in ERC-I considered two approaches: predictive modelling using a generic near-field exposure model for each substance, and an analysis of measured concentrations collected by federal and provincial water quality monitoring programs. Modelled and measured predicted environment concentrations (PECs) were compared to PNECs, and multiple statistical metrics were computed and compared to decision criteria to classify the potential for causing harm to the environment. The ERC-I identified talc as having a low potential to cause ecological harm.

Considering all available lines of evidence presented in this draft screening assessment, there is a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. It is proposed to conclude that talc does not meet the criteria under paragraphs 64(a) or (b) of CEPA as it is not entering the environment in a quantity or concentration or under conditions that have or

¹ The Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CAS RN) is the property of the American Chemical Society, and any use or redistribution, except as required in supporting regulatory requirements and/or for reports to the Government of Canada when the information and the reports are required by law or administrative policy, is not permitted without the prior written permission of the American Chemical Society.

may have an immediate or long-term harmful effect on the environment or its biological diversity or that constitute or may constitute a danger to the environment on which life depends.

Talc has been reviewed internationally by other organizations, including the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and the Danish Environmental Protection Agency. These assessments informed the human health risk assessment.

No critical health effects were identified via the oral or dermal routes of exposure. As such, oral exposure to talc resulting from food intake and self-care products is not of concern. Inhalation exposure from industrial and commercial uses of talc was not identified to be of concern for human health given the limited number of sites producing and processing talc in Canada. Rather, the focus of the assessment is on inhalation and perineal exposure to certain self-care products containing cosmetic- or pharmaceutical-grade talc.

With respect to inhalation exposure, non-cancer lung effects were identified as a critical health effect for risk characterization on the basis of United States National Toxicology Program studies conducted with rats and mice exposed to cosmetic-grade talc. There is potential for inhalation exposure to talc powder during the use of certain self-care products (e.g., cosmetics, natural health products, non-prescription drugs formulated as loose powders). Self-care products formulated as pressed powders (e.g., face makeup) are not of concern. Margins of exposure between air concentrations following the use of dry hair shampoo and critical lung effects observed in animal studies are considered adequate to address uncertainties in the health effects and exposure databases. Margins of exposure between air concentrations following the use of loose powders (e.g., body powder, baby powder, face powder, foot powder) and critical lung effect levels observed in animal studies are considered potentially inadequate to address uncertainties in the health effects and exposure databases.

The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature indicate a consistent and statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect. Given that there is potential for perineal exposure to talc from the use of various self-care products (e.g., body powder, baby powder, diaper and rash creams, genital antiperspirants and deodorants, body wipes, bath bombs), a potential concern for human health has been identified.

Based on the available information, it is proposed that there is potential for harm to human health in Canada at current levels of exposure. Therefore, on the basis of the information presented in this draft screening assessment, it is proposed to conclude that talc meets the criteria under paragraph 64(c) of CEPA as it is entering or may enter the environment in a quantity or concentration or under conditions that constitute or may constitute a danger in Canada to human life or health.

It is therefore proposed to conclude that talc meets one of the criteria set out in section 64 of CEPA.

Talc is proposed to meet the persistence criteria but not the bioaccumulation criteria as set out in the *Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations* of CEPA.

Table of Contents

Synopsis	ii
1. Introduction	1
2. Identity of substance	2
3. Physical and chemical properties.....	3
4. Sources and Uses	4
5. Potential to cause ecological harm	6
5.1 Characterization of ecological risk	6
6. Potential to cause harm to human health	7
6.1 Health effects assessment.....	7
6.2 Exposure assessment.....	20
6.3 Characterization of risk to human health.....	25
6.4 Uncertainties in evaluation of risk to human health.....	27
7. Conclusion.....	28
References	29
Appendix A. Inhalation exposure estimates	39
Table A-1. Estimated inhalation exposure concentrations from self-care products containing loose powder talc available to consumers	39

List of Tables

Table 3-1. Experimental physical and chemical property values (at standard temperature) for talc	4
Table 5-1. Ecological risk classification of inorganics results for talc.....	7
Table 6-1. Available human epidemiological studies investigating the association of perineal use of talc and ovarian cancer (Taher et al. 2018, in preparation). 15	
Table 6-2. Inhalation exposure estimates to talc from self-care products available to consumers.....	23
Table 6-3. Relevant exposure and hazard values for talc, and margins of exposure, for determination of risk	25

1. Introduction

Pursuant to section 74 of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA) (Canada 1999), the Minister of the Environment and the Minister of Health have conducted a screening assessment of talc to determine whether this substance presents or may present a risk to the environment or to human health. This substance was identified as a priority for assessment as it met categorization criteria under subsection 73(1) of CEPA (ECCC, HC [modified 2017]).

The ecological risk of talc was characterized using the Ecological Risk Classification of Inorganic Substances (ERC-I) approach (ECCC 2018). The ERC-I is a risk-based approach that employs multiple metrics, considering both hazard and exposure in a weight of evidence. Hazard characterization in ERC-I included a survey of past predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs) and water quality guidelines, or the derivation of a new PNEC value when required. Exposure profiling in ERC-I considered two approaches: predictive modelling using a generic near-field exposure model for each substance, and an analysis of measured concentrations collected by federal and provincial water quality monitoring programs. Modelled and measured predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) were compared to PNECs, and multiple statistical metrics were computed and compared to decision criteria to classify the potential for causing harm to the environment.

With respect to human health, this draft screening assessment includes the consideration of information on chemical properties, environmental fate, hazards, uses, and exposures, including additional information submitted by stakeholders. Relevant data were identified up to August 2018. Empirical data from key studies, as well as results from models, were used to reach proposed conclusions. Talc has been reviewed internationally through the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Monographs Programme, United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and the Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Danish EPA). Talc was also assessed by the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area (MAK-Commission) in Germany and the *Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR)* Expert Panel. These evaluations and reviews were used to inform the health effects characterization in this screening assessment. This assessment focuses on health effects associated with cosmetic-grade talc and not on potential impurities, such as asbestos. Engineered nanomaterials composed of or containing talc are not explicitly considered in this assessment.

This draft screening assessment was prepared by staff in the CEPA Risk Assessment Program at Health Canada and Environment and Climate Change Canada and the Consumer Product Safety Directorate at Health Canada and incorporates input from other programs within these departments. The ecological portion of the assessment is based on the ERC-I document (published May 11, 2018), which was subject to an external peer review and a 60-day public comment period. The human health portion of

this assessment has undergone external peer review and/or consultation. Comments on the technical portions relevant to human health were received from Ms. Lopez, Ms. Super, and Ms. Jeney of Tetra Tech. Although external comments were taken into consideration, the final content and outcome of the screening assessment remain the responsibility of Health Canada and Environment and Climate Change Canada.

This draft screening assessment focuses on information critical to determining whether substances meet the criteria as set out in section 64 of CEPA by examining scientific information and incorporating a weight of evidence approach and precaution.² This draft screening assessment presents the critical information and considerations on which the proposed conclusion is based.

2. Identity of substance

Talc (CAS RN³ 14807-96-6) is one of the softest naturally occurring minerals, made up of magnesium, silicon, and oxygen (ChemIDplus 1993-). The term talc refers to both the pure mineral and a wide variety of soft, talc-containing rocks that are mined and used for a variety of applications (Kogel et al. 2006). Relatively pure talc ore is also referred to as steatite, and soapstone refers to impure, massive talc rock (Fiume et al. 2015).

The mineral talc is composed of triple-sheet crystalline units, consisting of two silicate sheets composed of SiO₄ tetrahedra joined by edge-link MgO₄(OH)₂ (Zazenski et al. 1995). These layers, held together loosely via van der Waals forces, slide over one another easily, giving talc its slippery feel and accounting for its softness (Fiume et al. 2015). The size of an individual talc platelet (i.e., a few thousand elementary sheets) can vary from approximately 1 µm to over 100 µm, depending on the conditions of formation of the deposit (Eurotalc 2017). The individual platelet size determines the lamellarity of a sample of talc. Highly lamellar talc will have large individual platelets, whereas microcrystalline talc will have small platelets. Other inorganics in place of magnesium and silicon are common in talc; for example, aluminum and iron may substitute for silicon in the tetrahedral sites, or manganese may substitute for magnesium in the octahedral positions (Zazenski et al. 1995).

² A determination of whether one or more of the criteria of section 64 of CEPA are met is based upon an assessment of potential risks to the environment and/or to human health associated with exposures in the general environment. For humans, this includes, but is not limited to, exposures from ambient and indoor air, drinking water, foodstuffs, and products available to consumers. A conclusion under CEPA is not relevant to, nor does it preclude, an assessment against the hazard criteria specified in the *Hazardous Products Regulations*, which are part of the regulatory framework for the Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System for products intended for workplace use. Similarly, a conclusion on the basis of the criteria contained in section 64 of CEPA does not preclude actions being taken under other sections of CEPA or other acts.

³ The Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CAS RN) is the property of the American Chemical Society and any use or redistribution, except as required in supporting regulatory requirements and/or for reports to the Government of Canada when the information and the reports are required by law or administrative policy, is not permitted without the prior written permission of the American Chemical Society.

Commercially exploited talc contains 20 to 99 % of the pure mineral (Kogel et al. 2006). Some of the most common minerals that occur with talc are carbonates (e.g., dolomite, calcite, magnesite) and chlorite (i.e., magnesium aluminum silicate) (CIR 2013). Less common minerals include quartz, mica, iron oxides, pyrite, serpentine, and amphibole. Selective mining, ore processing, and beneficiation can remove many of the impurities (Kogel et al. 2006). There is a trend towards upgrading and higher-purity talc; however, many applications require the properties of the minerals associated with talc (Kogel et al. 2006). The purity of the source talc will influence its uses.

There are different grades of talc that refer to the purity (presence of other minerals). Pharmaceutical-grade talc conforms to the United States Pharmacopeia (USP) specifications (or similar specifications); these specifications require the absence of asbestos and set limits on iron, lead, calcium, and aluminum (USP 2011). As per B.01.045 of the *Food and Drug Regulations*, when used as a food additive talc must comply with Food Chemical Codex specifications or the Combined Compendium of Food Additive Specifications, prepared by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, and must be free from asbestos (FAO 2006).

Cosmetic-grade talc should comply with USP standards that require a limit of 20 ppm lead and an absence of asbestos (Fiume et al. 2015). Historically, some talc source materials were contaminated with asbestos; however, in 1976 the Cosmetic Toiletry Fragrance Association (CTFA) set purity standards for cosmetic-grade talc (Fiume et al. 2015). In Canada, the *Prohibition of Asbestos and Products Containing Asbestos Regulations* to be made under CEPA 1999 will prohibit asbestos above trace levels in consumer products, including cosmetics. Health effect studies on cosmetic-grade talc cited in this assessment were considered to be free of asbestos.

Talc is milled to different particle sizes for specific commercial applications. Most talc for cosmetics and pharmaceuticals are pure 200-mesh roller-milled talc (Kogel et al. 2006). In 200-mesh talc (preferred for body powder and deodorants), the particle size distribution allows 95 to 99 % of the product to pass through a 200-mesh (74 µm) screen (Zazenski et al. 1995; Kogel et al. 2006). The finer 325-mesh talc is also used in cosmetic-, pharmaceutical-, and food-grade formulations, where 95 to 99 % of the product passes through a 325-mesh (44 µm) screen.

3. Physical and chemical properties

A summary of physical and chemical properties of talc is presented in

Table 3-1. Talc is hydrophobic and lipophilic (Kogel et al. 2006).

Table 3-1. Experimental physical and chemical property values (at standard temperature) for talc

Property	Range	Key reference
Physical state	solid, powder	HSDB 2005
Melting point (°C)	1500	EuroTalc 2017
Vapour pressure (mm Hg)	approx. 0, negligible at 20°C	OSHA 1999; NIOSH 2014
Water solubility (mg/L)	insoluble	HSDB 2005
Specific gravity (unitless)	2.58–3.83	HSDB 2005

4. Sources and Uses

Talc is a naturally occurring mineral, and there are deposits of talc in most provinces of Canada (Kogel et al. 2006). Currently, there is one producing mine (open-pit) and concentrator facility in Canada, in Penhorwood Township near Timmins, Ontario, and one micronizing facility in Timmins (Kogel et al. 2006; MAC 2016; NPRI 2018). The talc ore from the mine is approximately 45 % pure, with magnesite, magnetite, chlorite, and serpentine as the major impurities (Kogel et al. 2006). After beneficiation, this mine and micronizing facility produces talc primarily for the paper, plastics, paint, and ceramic sectors (Kogel et al. 2006). In 2017, China was the largest producer of talc, followed by India, Brazil, Mexico, and Korea (USGS 2018). The major uses of talc globally include paper, plastics, paint, ceramics, putties, and cosmetics (USGS 2000; Kogel et al. 2006; EuroTalc 2017; USGS 2018) and are aligned with Canadian uses.

On the basis of information submitted pursuant to a CEPA section 71 survey for the year 2011, talc was reported to be manufactured and imported in Canada at quantities ranging from 50 to 75 million kg (EC 2013).⁴ According to the Canadian International Merchandise Trade (CIMT) database, in 2016, 99 549 000 kg of natural steatite and talc, crushed or powdered (Harmonized System, HS code 252620) and 4 656 000 kg of natural steatite and talc, not crushed, not powdered (HS code 252610) were imported into Canada (CIMT 2017).

According to information reported pursuant to a CEPA section 71 survey, results from voluntary stakeholder engagement (ECCC, HC 2017), and a search of websites from talc producers, manufactured or imported talc is used in Canada in: adhesives and sealants; automotive, aircraft, and transportation applications; building and construction materials (e.g., wood and engineered wood); ceramics; electrical and electronics; textiles; floor coverings; ink, toner, and colourants; lubricants and greases; oil and natural gas extraction applications; paints and coatings; paper and paper products,

⁴ Values reflect quantities reported in response to the survey conducted under section 71 of CEPA (EC 2013). See survey for specific inclusions and exclusions (schedules 2 and 3).

mixtures, or manufactured items; plastic and rubber materials; toys, playground, and sporting equipment; and in water treatment.

Talc is a formulant in pest control products registered in Canada (Health Canada 2010, Personal communication, email from the Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Health Canada to the Risk Management Bureau, Health Canada, dated March 29, 2017; unreferenceed).

Additionally, in Canada talc is on the List of Permitted Food Additives with Other Accepted Uses for limited uses in a small number of foods (Health Canada [modified 2017]). Talc can be used as a coating agent on dried legumes and rice and as a filler and dusting powder for chewing gum as per the List of Permitted Food Additives with Other Accepted Uses, incorporated by reference into its respective Marketing Authorization issued under the *Food and Drugs Act*. It may be present in food packaging materials and in incidental additives⁵ used in food processing establishments (email from the Food Directorate, Health Canada, to Existing Substances Risk Assessment Bureau, Health Canada, dated March 31, 2017; unreferenceed).

Talc is present in approximately 8500 self-care products.⁶ Talc is marketed or approved as a non-medicinal ingredient in approximately 1600 human and veterinary drug products in Canada, including approximately 150 over-the-counter (OTC) or non-prescription products (email from the Therapeutic Products Directorate, Health Canada, to the Existing Substances Risk Assessment Bureau, Health Canada, dated March 20, 2017; unreferenceed). Talc is listed in the Natural Health Products Ingredients Database (NHPID [modified 2018]) with a medicinal role and classified as a natural health product (NHP) substance falling under item 7 (a mineral) of Schedule 1 to the *Natural Health Products Regulations* and with a non-medicinal role (NHPID [modified 2018]). Talc is listed in the Licensed Natural Health Products Database (LNHPD) as being present as a medicinal or non-medicinal ingredient, in currently licensed natural health products in Canada (LNHPD [modified 2018]). Talc is present as a medicinal or a non-medicinal ingredient in approximately 2000 active licensed NHPs. Talc is listed as a medicinal ingredient in diaper rash products in concentrations ranging from 45 to 100 % in the Diaper Rash Monograph (Heath Canada 2007); however, there are no diaper rash products listed in the LNHPD containing talc as a medicinal ingredient (LNHPD [modified 2018]). Talc is permitted as a medicinal ingredient in the monograph for Traditional Chinese Medicine Ingredients (Health Canada 2015).

⁵ While not defined under the Food and Drugs Act (FDA), incidental additives may be regarded, for administrative purposes, as those substances that are used in food processing plants and that may potentially become adventitious residues in foods (e.g., cleaners, sanitizers).

⁶ Self-care products are products available for purchase without a prescription from a doctor, and fall into one of three broad categories: cosmetics, natural health products, and non-prescription drugs.

Based on notifications submitted under the *Cosmetic Regulations* to Health Canada, talc is an ingredient in approximately 6500 cosmetic products in Canada (dated April 5, 2017, emails from the Consumer Product Safety Directorate, Health Canada, to the Existing Substances Risk Assessment Bureau, Health Canada; unreferenced). Talc is considered a restricted ingredient in cosmetics.⁷ The Cosmetic Ingredient Hotlist entry for cosmetics containing talc in powder form intended to be used on infants and children indicates that product labels should display text to the effect of "keep out of the reach of children" and "keep powder away from child's face to avoid inhalation that can cause breathing problems." High-purity talc (fewer impurities of other minerals) is used in cosmetics, while lower-grade talc is used in the many commercial applications mentioned above. In North America, approximately 3 to 4 % of the talc produced and sold is used in cosmetics (Kogel et al. 2006; USGS 2018).

Condoms and medical gloves are regulated as Class II medical devices in Canada under the *Medical Devices Regulations* and may be sources of exposure if talc is present as a dry lubricant. However, a 1998 study did not find talc in a small survey of condoms tested in Canada (Douglas et al. 1998). Condom standards require dry lubricants to be bioabsorbable, such as starch and calcium carbonate (WHO, UNFPA, FHI 2013). Starch is more commonly used as dry powder lubricant on condoms (Douglas et al. 1998). There was also a shift from the use of talc as a dry lubricant on medical patient examination gloves to cornstarch in the 1980s (Lundberg et al. 1997). In 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration banned powdered patient examination gloves (United States 2016).

5. Potential to cause ecological harm

5.1 Characterization of ecological risk

The ecological risk of talc was characterized using the Ecological Risk Classification of Inorganic Substances (ERC-I). The ERC-I is a risk-based approach that employs multiple metrics that consider both hazard and exposure in a weight of evidence. Hazard characterization in ERC-I included a survey of past domestic and international assessment PNECs and water quality guidelines. When no suitable existing PNEC or water quality guideline was found, hazard endpoint data were collected and, dependent on data availability, either a species sensitivity distribution (SSD) or an assessment factor (AF) approach was taken to derive a new PNEC value. In the case of talc, hazard endpoint data from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

⁷ Talc is described as a restricted ingredient on the List of Prohibited and Restricted Cosmetic Ingredients (more commonly referred to as the Cosmetic Ingredient Hotlist or simply the Hotlist), an administrative tool that Health Canada uses to communicate to manufacturers and others that certain substances may contravene the general prohibition found in section 16 of the *Food and Drugs Act* (FDA), or may contravene one or more provisions of the *Cosmetic Regulations*. Section 16 of the FDA states that "no person shall sell any cosmetic that has in or on it any substance that may cause injury to the health of the user." In addition, the Hotlist includes certain substances that may make it unlikely for a product to be classified as a cosmetic under the FDA (Health Canada [modified 2018]).

Screening Information Dataset (SIDS) for synthetic amorphous silicates (OECD 2004) were identified for read across (ECCC, HC 2017) and an AF approach was used to derive a PNEC value of 40 mg/L.

Exposure profiling in ERC-I considered two approaches: predictive modelling using a generic near-field exposure model, and an analysis of measured concentrations collected by federal and provincial water quality monitoring programs. The generic near-field exposure model used input data, when available, from the National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI), the DSL–Inventory Update (DSL-IU), international trade data from the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), and third-party market research reports to generate PECs. In the case of talc, input data from the DSL-IU and CBSA were available.

Modelled PECs were compared to PNECs, and statistical metrics considering both the frequency and magnitude of exceedances were computed and compared to decision criteria to classify the potential for ecological risk as presented in ECCC (2018). The results are summarized in Table 5-1. The ERC-I identified talc as being of low ecological concern.

Table 5-1. Ecological risk classification of inorganics results for talc

Monitoring (total/extractable)	Monitoring (dissolved)	Modelling (DSL-IU)	Modelling (NPRI)	Modelling (CBSA)	Overall ERC-I score
NA	NA	Low	NA	Low	Low

Abbreviations: NA, Not Available.

6. Potential to cause harm to human health

6.1 Health effects assessment

Talc was previously reviewed internationally by the IARC, and an IARC monograph is available (IARC 2010). Additionally, talc was reviewed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area (MAK-Commission) in Germany, and the Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Danish EPA) (U.S. EPA 1992; JECFA 2006; MAK-Commission 2012; Danish EPA 2016). Talc's safety in cosmetic uses was also assessed by the CIR Expert Panel (CIR 2013; Fiume et al. 2015).

A literature search was conducted from the year prior to the most recent assessment (the 2016 Danish EPA review), i.e., from January 2015 to January 2018. No health effects studies that could impact the non-cancer risk characterization (i.e., result in different critical endpoints or lower points of departure than those stated in existing reviews and assessments) for oral, dermal, or inhalation exposures were identified. For perineal exposures, recently published literature was identified and considered in the assessment.

The health effects of talc are outlined by route of exposure in the following sections.

Toxicokinetics

Talc is poorly absorbed via the oral route of exposure. Following gavage administration of radiolabelled talc to rodents, the majority of the administered dose (AD) remained in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and was eliminated and recovered in the faeces ($\geq 95.8\%$ of AD) within three to four days of dosing (Wehner et al. 1977a; Phillips et al. 1978). Less than 2 % of the AD was recovered in the urine; however, this was mainly attributed to contamination from faeces during collection, with true absorption and urinary clearance expected to be even lower. At 24 hours post administration, less than 2 % of the AD remained in the carcass of hamsters; no radioactivity was detected in mouse carcasses at this time point. In rats and guinea pigs, only trace amounts of radioactivity remained in the GI tract at 10 days post administration.

As an insoluble solid, talc is not expected to be absorbed when applied to healthy and intact skin. There are no indications of dermal absorption following talc exposure (MAK-Commission 2012).

Inhalable talc particles ($<10\ \mu\text{m}$) are eliminated from the respiratory tract via mucociliary clearance. In female Syrian hamsters that were administered aerosolized neutron-activated cosmetic talc at concentrations of 40 to 75 mg/m³ (95% pure; MMAD 6.4 to 6.9 μm) over a 2-hour exposure period, 6 to 8 % of the AD was deposited into the alveoli (Wehner et al. 1977b). The biological half-life following a single exposure was estimated to be between 7 and 10 days, with complete alveolar clearance after 4 months. There was no translocation of talc from the respiratory tract to the liver, kidneys, ovaries, or other parts of the body. Lung clearance was noted to be longer in other species. The Danish EPA (2016) noted that talc, including the respirable fraction ($< 4\ \mu\text{m}$), is not absorbed following inhalation, but is retained in the lung tissue. They further stated that lung burdens were proportional to respired concentrations, and clearance became impaired with increasing exposures. Pulmonary retention half-lives for talc particles in the lungs of rats from a chronic inhalation study were estimated to be as long as 300 days (Oberdorster 1995). Other authors (Pickrell 1989; MAK-Commission 2012) noted similar findings indicating that with repeat exposures, alveolar clearance in rats may be impaired at concentrations of only 2 mg talc/m³ air.

Talc particles have been observed and detected in the ovaries of humans (Heller et al. 1996a, 1996b), and perineal exposure to talc has also been associated with a presence of talc in lymph nodes and ovaries of women diagnosed with ovarian cancer (Heller et al. 1996b; Cramer et al. 2007). Migration of talc particles from the vagina to the ovaries has been identified as a plausible explanation of these findings (Henderson et al., 1986), and retrograde movement of talc particles in humans through the reproductive tract to the ovaries has been suggested (Heller et al. 1996b; Cramer et al. 2007). Inert particles with the same size as talc (5 to 40 μm in diameter) and placed in the vagina can be transported to the upper genital tract (Egli and Newton 1961; De Boer 1972; Venter and Iturralde 1979).

According to a review by the MAK-Commission (2012), there are no indications of metabolism via typical degradation pathways from which toxicologically relevant degradation products may develop.

Health Effects

Oral route of exposure

Talc was considered be of low concern with respect to human health via oral exposure. Repeated-dose testing with talc in animals did not produce any adverse effects via oral exposure with respect to repeated-dose toxicity, carcinogenicity, reproductive/developmental toxicity, or mutagenicity (Gibel et al. 1976; Wagner et al. 1977; NTP 1993; IARC 2010; Danish EPA 2016).

Talc has not been shown to produce adverse effects when ingested orally; as a result, the use of talc in various tablet formulations was not considered hazardous via the ingestion route (Hollinger 1990; U.S. EPA 1992).

In addition, the Commission of the European Communities' report on Dietary Food Additive Intake in the European Union identified talc as having an Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) of "not-specified." The JECFA has also assessed talc and assigned an ADI as "not specified" due to the lack of toxicity from oral exposure. The substance was considered not to be a hazard to human health at oral intake levels noted in total diet surveys, which represent the majority of the sources of oral exposure for this substance (IARC 1987; EU [modified 2001]). Furthermore, talc is considered as "generally recognized as safe" when used as a food additive in the United States (U.S. FDA GRAS list) without being subject to pre-market approval requirements (U.S. FDA 2015; 2016).

Dermal route of exposure

There are limited data available on repeated-dose studies via dermal exposure to talc (Danish EPA 2016). In the available literature, only one repeated-dose dermal toxicity study was identified (Wadaan 2009). Severe limitations were noted for this study, including a lack of information on the test substance and the dose applied, as well as a lack of detail regarding the test animals. Skin dryness and erosion were noted; however, application sites were shaved, indicating that talc may have been applied to broken skin. As such, the results of this study were not considered appropriate to inform the characterization of health effects via dermal exposure. Additionally, there were no indications of irritation, sensitization, or dermal absorption following exposure to unabraded and/or non-diseased skin (MAK-Commission 2012). A three-day occlusive application of pharmaceutical-grade talc did not show any signs of irritation in 5 human volunteers (Frosch and Kligman 1976, as reported in MAK-Commission 2012).

Case reports, however, do indicate that the application of talc to diseased or broken skin can cause the formation of granulomas, particularly if the talc particles have a large diameter (MAK-Commission 2012; CIR 2013; Fiume et al. 2015). Granulomas have